United States Environmental Protection Agency Washington, DC 20460	MEGELVEN
Annual Reporting Form	APR 7 2014
A. GENERAL INFORMATION	
1. Facility Name: WILLIAM F SULLIMANICO. (NC.	Ву
2 NPDES Permit Tracking No.: MARD\$DF51	
3 Facility Physical Address:	
a Street 107 APPLETON STREET	
b. Cily. HOLYOKE	Code. 01040 -
4. Lead Inspectors Name: UCLAIBORNETHORNTON       Title. CONSULT)	NG ENGINEER
Additional Inspectors Name(s) BRIAN POMELLIAR	
5. Conlact Person. BRIAN POWELL JR Title	
Phone 413 - 589 - 9664 Ext. E-mail BRIANPOWELL@SULLIVANI	WETALS.COMIII
6. Inspection Date. 08 / 14 / 2013	
B. GENERAL INSPECTION FINDINGS	
A part of this comprehensive site inspection, did you inspect all potential pollutant sources, including areas where industrial TYES NO  If NO, describe why not:  NOTE: Complete Section C of this form for each industrial activity area inspected and included in your SWPPP or as newly idea.	
may be exposed to stormwater.	miled in 6.2 or 8.3 below where politicants
2 Did this inspection identify any stormwater or non-stormwater outfalls not previously identified in your SWPPP?	MO
If YES, for each location, describe the sources of those stormwater and non-stormwater discharges and any associated con-	trol measures in place

## W. Z. BAUMGARTNER & ASSOCIATES, INC. ENVIRONMENTAL ENGINEERS AND CONSULTANTS

P.O. BOX 680369 • FRANKLIN, TN 37068-0369 1113 MURFREESBORO RD., SUITE 310 • FRANKLIN, TN 37064 615-595-0025 • FAX 615-595-1595

## LETTER OF TRANSMITTAL

TO: Mr. Brian Powell		September 18, 2013				
William F. Sullivan & Co., Inc.		PROJECT NO.	96075			
106 Appleton Street			RE:	SWPPP		
Holy	yoke, MA 010	40				
			Attached Overnight	□ Previously: □ 2nd Day	faxed	□ Under separate cover ☑ Regular
THE FOLI	LOWING ITEMS	8	□ Exhibits □ Shop Drawlngs □ Permit Applications □ Report □ Survey □ Change Order □ Plans □ Specifications □ Other		□ Change Order	
COPIES	DATE	PGS			DESCRIPTIO	)N
1	08/14/13		Annual Compre	ehensive Site C	ompliance	Evaluation
1	08/16/13		Updated Facilit	у Мар	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
		<u> </u>		·		
				<del></del>		
FHESE ARE TRANSMITTED as checked below:  For approval  Approved as submitted  For your use  Approved as noted  Resubmit  copies for approval  submit  copies for distribution  corrected prints  Return when complete						
REMARKS: Please insert the Annual Inspection into the correct Appendix of your SWPPP and replace						
the updated Facility Map in the SWPPP. Please call if you have any questions.						
COPY TO:						

SIGNED:

Claiborne Thornton, III,. P. E.

Vice President

## STORM WATER POLLUTION PREVENTION PLAN

## ANNUAL COMPREHENSIVE SITE COMPLIANCE EVALUATION

**Instructions:** The comprehensive site compliance evaluation is required to inspect and assess the effectiveness of the SWPPP.

- 1. This inspection must be conducted annually by one or more qualified employees or designated representatives that are knowledgeable of the Facility's industrial activity and SWPPP requirements.
- 2. Inspect the facility using the Quarterly Inspection Checklist, particularly focusing on the exposed materials, structural controls, BMPs, housekeeping, spill prevention, and the area immediately downstream of the Facility's outfall(s).
- 3. Thoroughly review all records required as part of the Permit and SWPPP.
- 4. Complete this report and attach a narrative discussion of the compliance with the SWPPP.
- 5. Provide remedy for any SWPPP non-compliance discovered and update the SWPPP as required.
- 6. Keep the report with the SWPPP.

Part 1 — General Information			
Facility:	William F. Sullivan Co.		
Site Compliance Evaluation Date:		Site Inspection Date:	08/14/13
Inspector Name(s) and Title:	J. Claiborne Thornton III. V. I	P. of W. Z. Baumgartner &	k Assoc., Inc.
Inspector Signature:	Inspector Signature: Alarhon Thomas		
Facility Representative:	Brian Powell		
Part 2 — Site Inspection Evaluation			
	Assessment of Areas Contributing	ng to a Storm Water Disch	narge
⊠Y □ N	Were all areas of exposed materials evaluated?		
⊠ Y□ N	Y D N Is the drainage system clear of exposed materials that may cause non-compliance with the SWPPP?		
⊠Y □N	Are materials handled in reasonable manner as to prevent storm water pollution in accordance with standard operating procedures and Best Management Practices?		
□Y⊠N	Are there any instances of noncompliance with the SWPPP related to exposed materials?		

WZB Proj. #: <u>96075</u> Date: <u>08/14/13</u>

Part 2 — Site Inspection Evaluation				
Assessment of Structural Controls				
⊗Y□N □NA	Were all structural controls inspected?			
⊠Y□N □NA	Are structural controls used at the facility effective?			
⊗Y□N □NA	Are structural controls properly maintained?			
□Y ⊗N □NA	Are there any instances of noncompliance with the SWPPP related to structural controls?			
	Assessment of Non-Structural Controls			
⊠Y□N □NA	Were all non-structural controls evaluated?			
⊠Y□N □NA	Are the Facility's non-structural BMPs being implemented?			
⊗Y□N □NA	Are good housekeeping measures being implemented?			
⊗Y□N □NA	Are spill prevention measures being implemented?			
⊠Y□N □NA	Are the non-structural controls effective? (If NO, indicated which)			
□Y⊠N □NA	Are there any instances of noncompliance with the SWPPP related to non-structural controls?			
Assessment of Downstream Areas				
⊠Y□N □NA	Were all areas downstream of facility outfalls that are reasonably accessible inspected?			
⊠Y□N □NA	Are erosion and sediment controls for the facility protecting downstream watersheds?			
⊠Y□N □NA	Are downstream areas free of prohibited discharges in accordance with the permit?			
□Y⊠N □NA	Is there evidence of pollutants leaving the site that may cause non-compliance with the SWPPP?			

WZB Proj. #: <u>96075</u> Date: <u>08/14/13</u>

Part 2 — Site Inspection Evaluation			
Ш	Assessment of SWPPP Records		
⊠Y□N □NA	Were the required modifications from the previous Annual Comprehensive Site Evaluation implemented?		
⊠Y□N □NA	Were the Quarterly Inspections completed for the last year?		
⊠Y□N □NA	Were the Quarterly Visual Monitoring events completed for the last year?		
⊠Y□N □NA	Were the required analytical monitoring events completed for the past year?		
⊗Y□N □NA	Were the numeric effluent limitation monitoring events for the past year completed?		
⊠Y□N □NA	Were the required actions (reporting, evaluations) made based on the sampling results?		
⊠Y□N □NA	Are all monitoring and inspection reports included with the SWPPP?		
⊠Y□N □NA	Is the Spill Log up to date, accurate, and complete?		
⊠Y□N □NA	Are training records complete and up to date?		
⊠Y□N □NA	Is the non-storm water discharge inspection complete and accurate?		
⊠Y□N □NA	Is the SWPPP Certification signed by the appropriate company official?		
⊠Y□N □NA	Is the SWPPP Team roster correct and up to date?		
□Y⊠N□NA	Do any records show the Facility is non-compliant with the SWPPP or Permit requirements?		

WZB Pi	roj. #:	<u>96075</u>	
Date:	08/14	1/13	

Part 3 — SWPPP Revision			
□Y⊠N□NA	Do any elements of the SWPPP required modification to improve effectiveness?		
□Y⊠N □NA	Has the permit been modified or reissued requiring SWPPP update?		
□Y⊠N□NA	Are there any additional elements (e.g., structural modifications or BMPs) that should be added or modified for pollution prevention?		
⊠Y□N □NA	Does the site map need to be updated?		
□Y⊠N □NA	Does the inventory of exposed materials need to be updated?		
□Y⊠N □NA	Does the description of good	housekeeping measures need to be updated?	
□Y⊠N □NA	Does the description of struc	tural controls need to be updated?	
DY MN DNA	Does the description of non-s	structural controls need to be updated?	
□Y⊠N □NA	Does any other element of th	e plan found to be inaccurate or need modification?	
Element of SWP	PP to be modified	Date Complete (30 days allowed)	
		2	

WZB Proj. #: <u>96075</u> Date: <u>08/14/13</u>

	Part 4 — Compliance Evaluation Results
	Check One
Ø	This Annual Comprehensive Site Evaluation has determined that this facility is in compliance with the SWPPP.
0	This Annual Comprehensive Site Evaluation has determined that corrective action indicated below is needed to bring the facility into compliance with the SWPPP.
information submitted. Bas directly responsible for gath belief, true, accurate, and c	with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gather and evaluate the sed on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons hering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and complete. I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, fine and imprisonment for knowing violations."
Print Name:	Brian Pome!
Title:	VP
Date:	8/15/12

F	6360000000	
Boson	TANK LEGEND PRODUCT CAPACI SAE 30 GEAR LUIRE HYDRULE FULLD AMPRESEE SOLUTION DRESS. FUEL (OFF ROAD) DRESS. FUEL (OFF ROAD) SHEAR RES. SHEAR RES. SHEAR RES. TUBHNISS CIL. TOTIS	
OATE	CAPACITY (GALS.)  38  38  4,000  2,500  355 (a)  300/300 (MARCES)	
BAR IS ONE MEN ON ORIGINAL DRAWNG FIRST ONE MAJAST THAS SARET, ABJAST SCALES ACCORDINGLY		
W. Z. BAUMGARINER & ASSOCIATES INC. Deminishing broaders was descrives 113 Mail Participation (1706) Sunt 100 Milliand Raised (1706) Sunt 100 Milliand Raised (1706) Participation (1706) Participatio	LEGEND  (1) STORMGE TAMIS  EXPOSED SIGNIFICANT MATERIALS  STORMMATER FLOW  • SPILL RT	
MEB/16/2013		
SWPPP FACILITY MAP WILLIAM F. SULLIVAN & COMPANY NO. HOLYDIC, MASSACHUSETTS		
2 and 20	TOUR PARTY IN LEGISLATION IN LANGUAGE IN L	
21007 2021 125		